

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/978,632	11/25/1997	ELAZAR RABBANI	ENZ-53(C)	4638
28171 7. ENZO BIOCHE	590 03/19/200 M. INC.	EXAMINER		
527 MADISON	AVENUE (9TH FLO	BOWMAN, AMY HUDSON		
NEW YORK, N	Y 10022	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1635	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/19/2007		03/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary Description Art Unit Art	Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Army H. Bowman - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extraction during the implementation. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the resistance statementation. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the resistance statementation. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the resistance statementation. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the resistance statementation. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the resistance statementation. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the resistance statementation. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the resistance statementation. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the resistance statementation. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the resistance statementation and period and period and period at the resistance and pecified above. The resistance are advantaged and period above. The resistance are advantaged and period			08/978,632	RABBANI ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Excession of time may be availation under the powders of 3° CFR 1300l. In overent however, may rarpy be timeful field If NO period for reply is specified above, the meantum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTIST from he mailing date of this communication. Failurs to right within the stor exceeded period for reply is patiality, cause application to be realized application to be communication. Failurs to right within the stor exceeded period for reply is application to the application. Failurs to right within the store exceeded period for reply is application and the application of the store of the communication, even it timely field, may reduce any search parent timely stored. Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2006. 2a □ This action is FINAL. 2b □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) □ (stare allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) □ (stare allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) □ (stare allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) □ (stare allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) □ (stare objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 1997 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 1997 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 1997 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 1997 is/are: a) □ ac			Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Examinions of time rays be available under the provisions of 37 GPT 1-136(a). In or event, however, may a rarely be timely flied. - Examinion of time rays be available under the provisions of 37 GPT 1-136(a). In or event, however, may a rays) be timely flied. - Examinion of time rays be available under the provisions of 37 GPT 1-136(a). - If No period of cryely is specified above, the maximum station, period will apply and will expire 36(x) plot 10 Hz timely flied. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by adultic, cause the application to become ARAMONED (30 U.S. 5, 133). Any rays) received by the Order date of Period Provision. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by adultic, cause the application to become ARAMONED (30 U.S. 5, 133). Any rays) received by the Order date of Period Provision. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by adulting the set of the communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a set of the period of the set			l				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions for men ybe available under the provisors of 3° FR113061, in no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after 51X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the provision of the			ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2006. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 246-252.255.257-260 and 264-270 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 1997 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948). 3) ☐ Information Discours Statent Drawing Review (PTO-948). 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 246-252, 255, 257-260 and 264-270 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _25 November 1997 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 246-252, 255, 257-260 and 264-270 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 1997 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De	<u>ecember 2006</u> .				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parle Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)⊠	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
Al)	3)□	··					
4) Claim(s) 246-252,255,257-260 and 264-270 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 1997 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 246-252.255.257-260 and 264-270 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 1997 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Aktachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Dispositi	ion of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 1997 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 246-252,255,257-260 and 264-270 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 1997 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicat	ion Papers		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 1997</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	is have been received. Is have been received in Application in the second in the secon	ion No ed in this National Stage			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachmei	nt(s)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) 🛛 Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	3) Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ser No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application/Amendment/Claims

Applicant's response filed 3/23/2006 has been considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from the previous office action mailed 9/23/2005 are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or are reiterated and are the only rejections and/or objections presently applied to the instant application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 246-252, 255, 257-260 and 264-270 are pending in the instant application.

Applicant's amendments filed on 7/13/06 and/or arguments filed on 3/23/2006, with respect to the claim objections and rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 102, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the claim amendments filed on 7/13/2006.

Response to Arguments--Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

Claim 268 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, for the reasons of record set forth in the office action mailed on 9/23/2005. **This is a new matter rejection**.

Applicant asserts that there is support in the specification by way of illustration of examples in Figures 1-3 and Examples 1-4. However, the mere presences of figures that depict the presence of ligands in several positions does not offer specific support for "two or more", as instantly recited.

There does not appear to be specific support for a recitation of "two or more locations" within the context of the claimed invention. Should applicants disagree, applicants are invited to point out with specificity by page and line number where any such support may exist.

New Objections/Rejections

Claim Objections

Claim 266 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 266 recites is directed to the construct of claim 248, wherein said construct carries a net positive charge or a net negative charge, or is neutral or hydrophobic. Since there are no

alternatives other than positive, negative, or neutral charges, the claim fails to further limit claim 246.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 259 and 260 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 259 recites the limitation "The construct of claim 246, wherein said synthetic polymer..." However, claim 246 does not recite a synthetic polymer. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 260 is rejected because it depends from claim 259. Therefore, these claims were not further treated on the merits.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 246-252, 255, 257, 258 and 264-270 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the

time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. THIS IS A NEW MATTER REJECTION.

The instant claims are directed to a chemically modified nucleic acid construct, said construct comprising a modified nucleotide, a nucleotide analog, or a combination of the foregoing, wherein said modified nucleotide or nucleotide analog comprises a non-nucleic acid entity, "wherein said non-nucleic acid entity confers nuclease resistance, cell targeting, cellular localization or nuclear localization, or a combination of the foregoing".

The specification discloses that "non-nucleic acid entity or entities" include natural polymers, synthetic polymers, natural ligands and synthetic ligands, as well as combinations of any and all of the foregoing. When the non-nucleic acid entity or entities take the form of a natural polymer, suitable members may be modified or unmodified. Natural polymers can be selected from a polypeptide, a protein, a polysaccharide, a fatty acid, and a fatty acid ester as well as any and all combinations of the foregoing.

The amendment to claim 246 to recite "wherein said non-nucleic acid entity confers nuclease resistance, cell targeting, cellular localization or nuclear localization, or a combination of the foregoing" is new matter because the specification only teaches that chemical modifications or ligands can confers nuclease resistance, cell targeting, cellular localization or nuclear localization and does not teach that any "non-nucleic acid entity" or entities confer such results.

Applicant's arguments filed 3/23/2006 state that support for the amendments to claim 246 is supported by the specification on pages 31-47, more specifically on page

34 at lines 4-11 and 13-16. However, the paragraphs cited by applicant teach that chemical modifications render the construct capable of several properties and do not teach that non-nucleic acid entities confer such properties. Should applicant disagree, applicants are encouraged to point out with particularity by page and line number where such support might exist for each claim limitation added in the amended claims filed on 7/13/2006. Therefore, the instant claims are accorded an effective filing date of 11/25/1997, the filing date of the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 246-252, 255, 257, 258, and 264-267 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Pardridge et al. (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., Pharmacology, June 1995, Vol. 92, pages 5592-5596).

The invention of the above claims is drawn to a chemically modified nucleic acid construct, wherein said construct comprises a modified nucleotide, a nucleotide analog, or a combination of the foregoing, wherein said modified nucleotide or nucleotide analog comprises a non-nucleic acid entity that confers nuclease resistance, cell targeting, cellular localization or nuclear localization, or a combination of the foregoing, which construct when present in a cell directs the synthesis of a nucleic acid product having a

biological activity, wherein said product is chosen from antisense RNA, antisense DNA, sense RNA, ribozymes, mRNA, or a combination of these. The claims further direct that the construct be linear, circular, or branched, or that the construct be single-stranded, double stranded, partially double stranded, or triple stranded, or that the construct have a terminus which comprises a polynucleotide tail, which may be hybridized to a complementary polynucleotide sequence, or wherein the construct comprises DNA, RNA, a hybrid thereof, a chimera thereof, or a combination. The invention is further directed to modifications of the construct.

At the outset it is noted that claims 249, 255, 257, 258, 264, 265, and 267 recite limitations of elements from the broad claim, wherein said elements are stated in the alternative. Accordingly, because such elements are stated in the alternative, and because all limitations of the broad claim are read into the later depending claim, art that teaches one element is considered to reject the entire claim, even in later dependant claims which limit alternative elements that are not taught in the art, because those elements are not excluded in the claim language of the broad claim. For example, broad claim 246 recites nucleic acid constructs comprising A) a modified nucleotide, OR B) a nucleotide analog, OR C) a combination of the foregoing. Claim 255 recites the construct of claim 246, wherein at least one of said nucleotide analog or analogs have been modified on the backbone or side chain or both. However, because all claim limitations of the broad claim 246 are read into claim 255, and because claim 255 does not specifically exclude the elements of modified nucleotide of claim 246, art directed to the modified nucleotide of claim 246 is also considered to anticipate claim 255.

Pardridge et al. teach that PNAs are nucleic acid molecules with antisense effects that may prove to be effective pharmaceuticals if they can undergo transport through the brain capillary endothelial wall. Pardridge et al. teach that PNAs have a neutral backbone and that it is necessary to use delivery systems for these molecules, such as vector mediated peptide-drug delivery systems. Pardridge et al. teach an 18-mer that is antisense to the *rev* gene and is biotinylated and linked to a conjugate of streptavidin (SA) and the OX26 murine monoclonal antibody. The PNA nucleic acid construct of Pardridge et al. comprises a nucleotide analog and a non-nucleic acid entity. Pardridge et al. teach that the PNAs are analogues of DNA in which the backbone is modified and replaced with a polyamide backbone. The PNA nucleic acid constructs "directs the synthesis" of a nucleic product having biological activity because the PNA is able to bind to *rev* mRNA. Therefore, since the PNA is able to inhibit the synthesis of a nucleic product, the PNA "directs the synthesis", as instantly claimed.

The PNA of Partridge et al. is linear and single-stranded. The single-stranded PNA is considered to comprise a polynucleotide tail that is hybridized to a complementary polynucleotide sequence. The PNA is modified via attachment to biotin. The non-nucleic acid entity is the peptide nucleic acid backbone wherein the phosphate backbone is replaced with a polyamide backbone (see Materials and Methods) and are synthetic polymers, more specifically heteropolymers.

The instant specification teaches that "Nucleic acid analogues are polymers capable of binding to a complementary nucleic acid and in which these polymer backbones are other than ribo- and deoxyribose sugars and phosphate groups or in

Application/Control Number: 08/978,632

Art Unit: 1635

which side chain groups are other than natural or modified bases. Examples of nucleic acid analogue polymers include peptide nucleic acids..." (see page 37, last paragraph).

Therefore, the chemically modified nucleic acid construct of Pardridge et al. anticipates the instant claims.

Claims 268-270 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Craig et al. (WO 95/06129).

The invention of the above claims is drawn to a nucleic acid construct which when present in a cell produces a nucleic acid product, said product being bound covalently to an entity comprising a ligand in two or more locations on said construct. The construct has at least one terminus comprising a polynucleotide tail that is hybridized to a complementary polynucleotide sequence.

Craig et al. teach a complex comprising a biologically active agent, such as a nucleic acid that comprises a transcription unit encoding a RNA molecule that is capable of eliciting a biological effect, and ligands that are capable of binding to a target cell (see page 5 and 7). Craig et al. teach that the product of the transcription unit may be an RNA molecule, such as an antisense RNA molecule or a ribozyme and the ligand is any entity capable of binding to the surface of a cell, such as proteins or nucleic acids (see page 8). The ligand was bound in various positions on the construct. The construct comprises a sequence that encodes an antisense oligonucleotide, wherein the sequence has a terminus that necessarily comprises a polynucleotide tail, wherein the polynucleotide tail sequence can hybridize to a complementary sequence.

Page 10

Therefore, the instant claims are anticipated by Craig et al.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy H. Bowman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0755.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Schultz can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JON E. ANGELL, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER Amy H Bowman Examiner Art Unit 1635

AHB